



Don't Gamble with Our Future...

...Casino San Pablo – Opposition is Region-wide

- Polls, letters, surveys and resolutions confirm overwhelming local opposition.
- An independent poll found that 57% of East Bay residents oppose the expansion proposed for Casino San Pablo. Only 33% support the expansion.

In an independent poll conducted by Survey USA for KPIX Channel 5 of 800 households in Albany, El Cerrito, Richmond and San Pablo 57% of the respondents opposed the expansion of Casino San Pablo. Only 33% support the expansion.

A survey conducted by Assemblywoman Loni Hancock returned by over 10,000 individuals found that district-wide, *92% of her constituents were opposed to the Governor's proposal to expand gambling into an urban area and specifically Casino San Pablo. In the City of San Pablo, 62% were opposed.*

Alameda County and the cities of Oakland, Alameda, San Leandro, Berkeley, and Albany are all on record opposing urban casinos or Casino San Pablo. The only local jurisdiction that has endorsed the casino expansion is San Pablo.

Three students from UC Berkeley collected over 1,000 letters from West Contra Costa County residents stating their opposition to the casino expansion.

San Pablo Residents Have Never Voted for Slot Machines

The residents of San Pablo have never been asked whether or not they support the expansion of Las Vegas-style gambling into their community.

In 1994, the voters of San Pablo voted to allow a **CARD ROOM**. They have never been asked how they feel about Las Vegas-style gambling within the city limits.

The only recent vote by residents of San Pablo on gambling was on Proposition 68 and Proposition 70. Both of which were resoundingly opposed by the residents of San Pablo.

Proposition 68 was opposed by 72.4% of local residents.

Proposition 70 was opposed by 67.5% of local residents.